

JV
24/6/09



WOMBLETON PARISH COUNCIL

Mrs M L Slater, Parish Clerk.
Ryecroft,
Main Street,
Wombledon,
North Yorkshire.
YO62 7RX.
Tel 01751 432272.
Email louise@wombledon.wanadoo.co.uk

RYEDALE D.C.

24 JUN 2009

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

FAO Karen Hood, Senior Technical/IT Officer.
Ryedale District Council,
Ryedale House,
Malton,
North Yorkshire. YO17 7HH.

23rd June 2009.

Dear Madam,

RE **APPLICATION 09/00369/FUL**
APPLICANT R Smallwood
LOCATION Former WAAF Site Wombledon Aerodrome,
Common Lane, Wombledon
DESCRIPTION Demolition of existing unoccupied pre-
fabricated dwellings and erection of 1 no. five
bedroom dwelling with integral double garage.

I refer to the above planning application which was considered at the Parish Council Meeting on Monday 22nd June. The Councillors unanimously strongly recommended rejection of this application.

The pre-fabricated dwellings were the subject of a section 52 agreement which the application acknowledges contained the condition that "The owner of the property covenants that each of the temporary dwellings will be immediately demolished as and when it becomes vacant and that no

replacement buildings whatsoever either of a temporary or permanent nature shall be erected on the property”.

This action should have been carried out by 1st April 1986, after this date appropriate enforcement action should have been taken to ensure that the owner complied with the planning conditions relating to the site. Regrettably this has not yet taken place and it is the opinion of the Council that the betterment of the site should not be a justification for the consideration of this planning application.

If the planning conditions had been enforced this would now be agricultural land and there would be no likelihood of an application like this being accepted therefore it should be rejected and enforcement proceedings should be taken to ensure compliance. The Council is in total agreement with the view taken when considering the 1981 planning application when it was stated,

“It is considered.....a residential use is inappropriate within an area of white land where existing uses for the most part are expected to remain undisturbed and where new development will mostly be restricted to that essential in the interests of agriculture or forestry.....they are an obtrusive feature in what is essentially an open rural area”.

In the event the Planning Officer thinks the application should be considered further my Council is of the opinion it is not a decision suitable for delegation and that it should be decided by the Planning Committee. The Parish Council would wish to be represented at the meeting and make strenuous objections to the application on various grounds including the unfinished Bat Survey and lack of compliance with H10, ENV3 and various PPS's.

To summarize Councillors strongly recommend refusal.

Yours faithfully,

Louise Slater

M L SLATER, CLERK.